arXiv:1409.7937v1 [math.RA] 28 Sep 2014

THE SUBALGEBRA OF GRADED CENTRAL POLYNOMIALS OF AN ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA

GALINA DERYABINA AND ALEXEI KRASILNIKOV

Abstract. Let F be a field and let $F\langle X\rangle$ be the free unital associative F-algebra on the free generating set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. A subalgebra (a vector subspace) V in $F\langle X\rangle$ is called a *T-subalgebra* (a *T-subspace*) if $\phi(V) \subseteq V$ for all endomorphisms ϕ of $F\langle X\rangle$. For an algebra G, its central polynomials form a T-subalgebra $C(G)$ in $F\langle X\rangle$. Over a field of characteristic $p > 2$ there are algebras G whose algebras of all central polynomials $C(G)$ are not finitely generated as *T-subspaces* in $F\langle X\rangle$. However, no example of an algebra G such that $C(G)$ is not finitely generated as a *T-subalgebra* is known yet.

In the present paper we construct the first example of a 2-graded unital associative algebra B over a field of characteristic $p > 2$ whose algebra $C_2(B)$ of all 2-graded central polynomials is not finitely generated as a T_2-subalgebra in the free 2-graded unital associative F-algebra $F\langle Y, Z\rangle$. Here $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ and $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ are sets of even and odd free generators of $F\langle Y, Z\rangle$, respectively. We hope that our example will help to construct an algebra G whose algebra $C(G)$ of (ordinary) central polynomials is not finitely generated as a T-subalgebra in $F\langle X\rangle$.

1. Introduction

Let F be a field and let $F\langle X\rangle$ be the free unital associative F-algebra on the free generating set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Recall that a two-sided ideal I in $F\langle X\rangle$ is called a *T-ideal* if $\phi(I) \subseteq I$ for all endomorphisms ϕ of $F\langle X\rangle$. Similarly, a subalgebra (a vector subspace) U in $F\langle X\rangle$ is called a *T-subalgebra* (a *T-subspace*) if $\phi(U) \subseteq U$ for all endomorphisms ϕ of $F\langle X\rangle$.

Let G be a unital associative algebra over F. Recall that a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F\langle X\rangle$ is called a *polynomial identity* in G if $f(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0$ for all $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$. One can easily check that, for a given algebra G, its polynomial identities form a T-ideal $T(G)$ in $F\langle X\rangle$. The converse also holds: for every T-ideal I in $F\langle X\rangle$ there is an algebra G such that $I = T(G)$, that is, I is the ideal of all polynomial identities satisfied in G.

A polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F\langle X\rangle$ is *a central polynomial* of G if, for all $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, $f(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is central in G. Clearly, $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a central polynomial of G if and only if $[f, x_{n+1}]$ is a polynomial identity of G. For a given algebra G its central polynomials form a T-subalgebra $C(G)$ in $F\langle X\rangle$. However, not every T-subalgebra in $F\langle X\rangle$ coincides with the T-subalgebra $C(G)$ of all central polynomials of any algebra G.

Let I be a T-ideal in $F\langle X\rangle$. A subset $S \subset I$ *generates I as a T-ideal* if I is the minimal T-ideal in $F\langle X\rangle$ containing S. The T-subalgebra and the T-subspace of $F\langle X\rangle$ generated by S (as a T-subalgebra and a T-subspace, respectively) are defined in a similar way. Clearly, the T-ideal (T-subalgebra, T-subspace) generated by S is the ideal (the subalgebra, the vector subspace) in $F\langle X\rangle$ generated by all polynomials $f(a_1, \dots, a_m)$, where $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in S$ and $a_i \in F\langle X\rangle$ for all i.

We refer to [7, 10, 17, 19] for further terminology and basic results concerning T-ideals and algebras with polynomial identities and to [1, 6, 11, 12, 16, 17] for an account of results concerning T-subspaces and T-subalgebras.

Let F be a field of characteristic 0. Then for each associative F-algebra G (unital or not) its ideal of polynomial identities $T(G)$ is a finitely generated T-ideal and its subalgebra of central polynomials $C(G)$ is a finitely generated T-subspace (and thus a finitely generated T-subalgebra). This is because, by Kemer's solution of the Specht problem [18], over a field F of characteristic 0 each T-ideal in $F\langle X\rangle$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16R10, 16R40.

Key words and phrases. Free associative algebra, Polynomial identity, Central polynomial, Graded associative algebra.

is finitely generated (as such). Moreover, over such a field F each T-subspace (and, therefore, each T-subalgebra) in $F\langle X\rangle$ is finitely generated; this has been proved more recently by Shchigolev [22].

On the other hand, over a field F of characteristic $p > 0$ there are associative algebras G such that their ideals of polynomial identities $T(G)$ are not finitely generated as T-ideals in $F\langle X\rangle$. This has been proved by Belov [3], Grishin [13] and Shchigolev [20] (see also [4, 14, 17]).

Over a field F of characteristic $p > 2$ there are also associative algebras G such that their subalgebras $C(G)$ of central polynomials are not finitely generated as *T-subspaces* in $F\langle X\rangle$. In fact, the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra E over an infinite field F of characteristic $p > 2$ is such an algebra: its vector space $C(E)$ of central polynomials is a non-finitely generated *T-subspace* in $F\langle X\rangle$ (see [1, 6, 15]). However, $C(E)$ is finitely generated as a *T-subalgebra* in $F\langle X\rangle$. To the best of our knowledge the following problem is still open.

Problem 1. *Let F be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Find an associative (unital) F-algebra B such that its subalgebra of central polynomials $C(B)$ is not finitely generated as a T-subalgebra in $F\langle X\rangle$.*

Note that over an infinite field of characteristic $p > 2$ many T-subalgebras in $F\langle X\rangle$ are known to be non-finitely generated, see [12, 21]. Moreover, such non-finitely generated T-subalgebras exist in $F\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle$, where $n > 1$ (see [12, 21]). However, these non-finitely generated T-subalgebras do not coincide with the subalgebra $C(G)$ of all central polynomials of any algebra G.

It is worth to mention that if R is a Noetherian unital associative and commutative ring then each T-ideal in $R\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle$ ($n \geq 1$) is finitely generated; this has been proved recently by Belov [5].

Recall that if H is an additive group and G is an F-algebra then G is *H-graded* if $G = \oplus_{h\in H} G_h$ where G_h are vector subspaces of G and $G_h G_{h'} \subseteq G_{h+h'}$ for every $h, h' \in H$. Note that G_0 is a subalgebra of G. In this paper, unless otherwise stated, we fix $H = \mathbb{Z}/2\,\mathbb{Z}$ so $G = G_0 \oplus G_1$. We refer to the elements of G_0 as even ones and to those of G_1 as odd ones; the adjective 2-*graded* will stand for $(\mathbb{Z}/2\,\mathbb{Z})$-graded.

Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$, $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$. Let $A = F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ be the free unital associative algebra over F with a free generating set $Y \cup Z$. Define a 2-grading on A by setting $y_i \in A_0$, $z_j \in A_1$ for all i, j. It is clear that A_0 is the linear span of all monomials in variables y_i, z_j that contain even number of variables $z_j \in Z$ and A_1 is spanned by the monomials that contain odd number of variables z_j. We have $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$; $A_0A_0, A_1A_1 \subseteq A_0$; $A_1A_0, A_0A_1 \subseteq A_1$.

A two-sided ideal I in A is called a *T_2-ideal* if $\phi(I) \subseteq I$ for all 2-graded endomorphisms ϕ of A, that is, for all endomorphisms ϕ such that $\phi(A_0) \subseteq A_0$, $\phi(A_1) \subseteq A_1$. Similarly, a subalgebra (a vector subspace) U in A is called a *T_2-subalgebra* (a *T_2-subspace*) if $\phi(U) \subseteq U$ for all 2-graded endomorphisms ϕ of A.

Let $G = G_0 \oplus G_1$ be a 2-graded unital associative algebra over F. Recall that a polynomial $f(y_1, y_2, \dots; z_1, z_2, \dots) \in A$ is called a 2-*graded polynomial identity* in G if $f(g_1, g_2, \dots; g_1', g_2', \dots) = 0$ for all $g_1, g_2, \dots \in G_0$, $g_1', g_2' \dots \in G_1$. One can easily check that, for a given 2-graded algebra G, its 2-graded polynomial identities form a T_2-ideal $T_2(G)$ in A. The converse also holds: for every T_2-ideal I in A there is a 2-graded algebra G such that $I = T_2(G)$, that is, I is the ideal of all 2-graded polynomial identities satisfied in G.

A polynomial $f(y_1, y_2, \dots; z_1, z_2, \dots) \in A$ is *a 2-graded central polynomial* of G if, for all $g_1, g_2, \dots \in G_0$ and all $g_1', g_2', \dots \in G_1$, $f(g_1, g_2, \dots; g_1', g_2', \dots)$ is central in G. For a given 2-graded algebra G its 2-graded central polynomials form a T_2-subalgebra $C_2(G)$ in A. However, not every T_2-subalgebra in A coincides with the T_2-subalgebra $C_2(G)$ of all 2-graded central polynomials of any algebra G.

Let I be a T_2-ideal in A. A subset $S \subset I$ *generates I as a T_2-ideal* if I is the minimal T_2-ideal in A containing S. A T_2-subalgebra and a T_2-subspace of A generated by S (as a T_2-subalgebra and a T_2-subspace, respectively) are defined in a similar way.

Graded identities is a powerful tool for studying PI algebras. They play an essential role in the structure theory of the T-ideals developed by Kemer, see [18]. Soon after Kemer's achievments graded identities became object of extensive studies. We refer to [10] for further terminology, basic results and reference concerning T_2-ideals, graded polynomial identities and graded central polynomials.

The aim of our paper is to solve the following (simpler) graded analog of Problem 1.

Problem 2. *Let F be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Find a 2-graded associative (unital) F-algebra B such that its subalgebra of 2-graded central polynomials $C_2(B)$ is not finitely generated as a T_2-subalgebra in A.*

We hope that our example will help to solve Problem 1, that is, to construct an algebra G whose algebra $C(G)$ of (ordinary) central polynomials is not finitely generated as a T-subalgebra in $F\langle X\rangle$.

Let T be the (two-sided) ideal in A generated by all polynomials $[a_1, a_2, a_3]$ ($a_i \in A$). Clearly, T is a T-ideal and, therefore, a T_2-ideal in A.

Let $A^{(k)}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) be the linear span of all monomials in variables $y_i \in Y, z_j \in Z$ that are of degree k in the variables z_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). For example, $y_1z_2y_3z_4z_5 \in A^{(3)}$. Then $A = \oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A^{(i)}$. Define $I_k = \sum_{i\geq k} A^{(i)}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). It is clear that, for each k, I_k is a T_2-ideal in A.

Define $U = (T \cap I_p) + I_{p+1}$. Let $B = A/U$. Since U is a 2-graded ideal in A, the quotient algebra B is a 2-graded unital associative algebra with the 2-grading inherited from A, $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$, $B_0 = (A_0 + U)/U$, $B_1 = (A_1 + U)/U$. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let F be an infinite field of characteristic $p > 2$. Then the algebra $C_2(B)$ of all 2-graded central polynomials of B is not finitely generated as a T_2-subalgebra in $A = F\langle Y, Z\rangle$.*

The idea of the proof is as follows. We will prove that the image $(C_2(B) + U)/U$ of the algebra $C_2(B)$ of the central polynomials of B is not finitely generated as a T_2-*subspace* in A/U. To prove this we will make use of the description of the central polynomials of the unital infinite-dimensional Grassmann algebra E over an infinite field F of characteristic $p > 2$ obtained in [1, 6, 15].

On the other hand, we will check that, up to a scalar term, $(C_2(B) + U)/U$ is an algebra with null multiplication. It follows that any set containing the unity 1 that generates $(C_2(B) + U)/U$ as a T_2-*subalgebra* also generates it as a T_2-*subspace*. Since $(C_2(B) + U)/U$ is not finitely generated as a T_2-subspace, $(C_2(B) + U)/U$ is not finitely generated as a T_2-subalgebra in A/U as well. It follows that $C_2(B)$ is not finitely generated as a T_2-subalgebra in A, as required.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state and prove some results about (ordinary) central polynomials of the Grassmann algebra E that we need to prove the main result. In Section 3 we give a proof of Theorem 1.

2. The central polynomials of the Grassmann algebra

Let F be an infinite field of characteristic $p > 2$. Define $X = Y \cup Z$, $x_{2i-1} = y_i$, $x_{2i} = z_i$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ and $A = F\langle X\rangle$ is the free unital associative F-algebra on the free generating set X.

Let E be the infinite-dimensional unital Grassmann algebra over F. Then E is generated by elements e_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$, $e_i^2 = 0$ for all i, j and the set

$$\{e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\dots e_{i_k} \mid k \geq 0,\ i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}$$

forms a basis of E over F. Let $T(E)$ be the T-ideal of all (ordinary) polynomial identities of E. Then $T(E) = T$ (see, for instance, [9]).

The T-subspace $C = C(E)$ of all (ordinary) central polynomials of E was described in [1, 6, 15]. Let $q(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{p-1}[x_1, x_2]x_2^{p-1}$ and let, for each $n \geq 1$,

$$q_n = q_n(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = q(x_1, x_2)q(x_3, x_4)\dots q(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

The T-subspace $C(E)$ is generated (as a T-subspace in A) by the polynomial $x_1[x_2, x_3, x_4]$ together with the polynomials $x_0^p, x_0^pq_1, x_0^pq_2, \dots, x_0^pq_n, \dots$ (see [1, 6, 15]).

Let $M \subset A$ be the set of monic (non-commutative) monomials in x_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$),

$$M = \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\dots x_{i_\ell} \mid l \geq 0, i_s \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } s\}.$$

The following lemma can be deduced, for instance, from [6, Proof of Theorem 2].

Lemma 2. *The vector subspace C is spanned (as a vector space over F) by all polynomials $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ ($g_i \in M$) together with the polynomials*

$$x_{i_1}^{pm_1} x_{i_2}^{pm_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{pm_k}\ x_{j_1}^{p-1}[x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}]x_{j_2}^{p-1} x_{j_3}^{p-1}[x_{j_3}, x_{j_4}]x_{j_4}^{p-1} \dots x_{j_{2\ell-1}}^{p-1}[x_{j_{2\ell-1}}, x_{j_{2\ell}}]x_{j_{2\ell}}^{p-1} \tag{1}$$

where $k, \ell \geq 0$, $i_1 < i_2 \cdots < i_k$, $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{2\ell}$, $m_i > 0$ for all i.

Sketch of proof. It is clear that all polynomials $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ ($g_i \in M$) belong to C; it is well known and straightforward to check that the polynomials of the form (1) also belong to C. Thus, to prove Lemma 2 it suffices to check that each polynomial $f \in C$ belongs to the linear span of the polynomials $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ ($g_i \in M$) and the polynomials of the form (1).

It is well known (see, for example, [6, Proposition 9]) that the vector space A/T over F has a basis formed by the elements

$$x_{i_1}^{n_1} x_{i_2}^{n_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{n_k}\ [x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}][x_{j_3}, x_{j_4}] \dots [x_{j_{2\ell-1}}, x_{j_{2\ell}}] + T$$

where $k, \ell \geq 0$, $i_1 < i_2, \cdots < i_k$, $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{2\ell}$, $n_i > 0$ for all i.

Let $f \in C$ be an arbitrary element of C. Since the field F is infinite, the T-subspace C is spanned by multi-homogeneous polynomials so we may assume without loss of generality that f is multi-homogeneous. For all i, let d_i be the degree of f with respect to x_i, $d_i = \deg_{x_i} f$. If, for some i, p does not divide d_i then, by [6, Lemma 12], $f + T$ belongs to the vector space of A/T spanned by the polynomials $[g_1, g_2] + T$ where $g_j \in A$ or, equivalently, where $g_j \in M$. If, on the other hand, p divides d_i for all i then one can check that $f + T = g + T$ for some linear combination g of polynomials of the form (1). It follows that C/T is spanned by the polynomials $[g_1, g_2] + T$ ($g_j \in M$) and the polynomials $h + T$ where h is of the form (1). Since T is spanned by the polynomials $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ ($g_j \in M$), the result follows. See [6, Proof of Theorem 2] for details. □

Let D_n be the vector subspace of A generated by all polynomials $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ ($g_i \in A$) together with all polynomials

$$g_1^{pm_1} g_2^{pm_2} \dots g_k^{pm_k}\ h_1^{p-1}[h_1, h_2]h_2^{p-1} h_3^{p-1}[h_3, h_4]h_4^{p-1} \dots h_{2\ell-1}^{p-1}[h_{2\ell-1}, h_{2\ell}]h_{2\ell}^{p-1} \qquad (g_i, h_j \in A) \tag{2}$$

such that $k \geq 0$, $0 \leq \ell \leq n$. It is clear that, for each $n \geq 0$, D_n is a T-subspace in A. Note that, for each n, $D_n \subset C$. Indeed, each polynomial (2) is a homomorphic image of a polynomial (1). By Lemma 2, each polynomial (1) belongs to C; since C is a T-subspace, all homomorphic images of polynomials (1) also belong to C. Hence, all polynomials (2) belong to C. It follows that, for each n, $D_n \subset C$, as claimed. On the other hand, it is clear that $C \subseteq \bigcup_{n\geq 0} D_n$ and, therefore, $C = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} D_n$.

The following lemma is an immediate corollary of Shchigolev's result [21, Lemma 13] (see also [6, Proposition 13]). It is worth to mention that this result of [21] has been used in [3, 20] (see also [4, 17]) to construct the first examples of non-finitely generated T-ideals in $F\langle X\rangle$ over a field of characteristic $p > 2$.

Lemma 3. *For each $n \geq 0$, $q_{n+1} \notin D_n$.*

Note that from the statement of [21, Lemma 13] one can deduce only a weaker assertion: for each $n \geq 0$, there exists $k(n) > n$ such that $q_{k(n)} \notin D_n$. However, it follows from the proof of [21, Lemma 13] that one can choose $k(n) = n + 1$.

Since $D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \cdots \subset D_n \subset \dots$, Lemma 3 implies he following.

Corollary 4. *For each $n \geq 0$ and each $k > n$, $q_k \notin D_n$.*

Let $S^{(n)}$ be the set of all polynomials

$$x_{i_1}^{pm_1} x_{i_2}^{pm_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{pm_k}\ x_{j_1}^{p-1}[x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}]x_{j_2}^{p-1} x_{j_3}^{p-1}[x_{j_3}, x_{j_4}]x_{j_4}^{p-1} \dots x_{j_{2\ell-1}}^{p-1}[x_{j_{2\ell-1}}, x_{j_{2\ell}}]x_{j_{2\ell}}^{p-1}$$

such that $0 \leq \ell \leq n$, $k \geq 0$, $i_1 < i_2, \cdots < i_k$, $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{2\ell}$, $m_i > 0$ for all i. The following lemma follows immediately from [16, Theorem 2.1]. However, we will deduce it here from Corollary 4 in order to have the paper more self-contained.

Lemma 5. *For each $n \geq 0$, the vector subspace D_n is spanned (as a vector space over F) by the set $S^{(n)}$ together with all polynomials $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ $(g_i \in M)$.*

Proof. It is clear that all polynomials of $S^{(n)}$ and all polynomials $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ $(g_i \in M)$ belong to D_n. Therefore, it suffices to check that each polynomial $f \in D_n$ can be written as a linear combination of these polynomials.

Suppose, in order to get a contradiction, that $f \in D_n$ can not be written as a linear combination of elements of $S^{(n)}$ and polynomials of the forms $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ $(g_i \in M)$. Since the field F is infinite, we may assume without loss of generality that f is multi-homogeneous. By Lemma 2, $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ where f_1 is a linear combination of polynomials of the forms $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ $(g_i \in M)$, f_2 is a linear combination of polynomials of the form (1) with $\ell \leq n$ and f_3 is a linear combination of polynomials of the form (1) with $\ell > n$. Since $f_1, f_2 \in D_n$, we may assume that $f = f_3$. Hence, $f = \sum_{t=1}^{s} \alpha_t h_t$ where $\alpha_t \in F \setminus \{0\}$ for all t and each h_t is a polynomial of the form (1) with $\ell > n$, that is,

$$h_t = x_{i_{t1}}^{pm_{t1}} \dots x_{i_{tk(t)}}^{pm_{tk(t)}} \; x_{j_{t1}}^{p-1}[x_{j_{t1}}, x_{j_{t2}}]x_{j_{t2}}^{p-1} \dots x_{j_{t(2\ell(t)-1)}}^{p-1}[x_{j_{t(2\ell(t)-1)}}, x_{j_{t(2\ell(t))}}]x_{j_{t(2\ell(t))}}^{p-1}$$

where $\ell(t) > n$ for all t.

Suppose (renumerating the terms h_t if necessary) that $\ell(1) \leq \ell(t)$ for all t. Let ϕ be the endomorphism of A such that $\phi(x_{j_{1r}}) = x_r$ for $r = 1, \dots, 2\ell(1)$ and $\phi(x_q) = 1$ for all other x_q. Then

$$\phi(h_1) = x_1^{pm_1} \dots x_{2\ell(1)}^{pm_{2\ell(1)}} \; x_1^{p-1}[x_1, x_2]x_2^{p-1} \dots x_{2\ell(1)-1}^{p-1}[x_{2\ell(1)-1}, x_{2\ell(1)}]x_{2\ell(1)}^{p-1}$$

for some $m_i \geq 0$ $(i = 1, \dots, 2\ell(1))$. On the other hand, $\phi(h_t) = 0$ for all $t > 1$ because, for each $t > 1$, there is j_{tq} such that $\phi(x_{j_{tq}}) = 1$ and, therefore,

$$\phi\big(x_{j_{t1}}^{p-1}[x_{j_{t1}}, x_{j_{t2}}]x_{j_{t2}}^{p-1} \dots x_{j_{t(2\ell(t)-1)}}^{p-1}[x_{j_{t(2\ell(t)-1)}}, x_{j_{t(2\ell(t))}}]x_{j_{t(2\ell(t))}}^{p-1}\big) = 0.$$

Thus, $\phi(f) = \alpha_1 h_1$. Since D_n is a T-subspace in A and $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, we have $h_1 \in D_n$.

Let ψ be the automorphism of A such that $\psi(x_i) = x_i + 1$ for all i. Then $\psi(h_1) \in D_n$. One can check that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(h_1) + T = (x_1^p + 1)^{m_1} \dots (x_{2\ell(1)}^p + 1)^{m_{2\ell(1)}} \\ \times (x_1 + 1)^{p-1}[x_1, x_2](x_2 + 1)^{p-1} \dots (x_{2\ell(1)-1} + 1)^{p-1}[x_{2\ell(1)-1}, x_{2\ell(1)}](x_{2\ell(1)} + 1)^{p-1} + T.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the multi-homogeneous component $h' + T$ of $\psi(h_1) + T$ of degree p in all variables $x_1, \dots, x_{2\ell(1)}$ coincides with $q_{\ell(1)} + T$,

$$h' + T = x_1^{p-1}[x_1, x_2]x_2^{p-1} \dots x_{2\ell(1)-1}^{p-1}[x_{2\ell(1)-1}, x_{2\ell(1)}]x_{2\ell(1)}^{p-1} + T = q_{\ell(1)} + T.$$

Since $\psi(h_1) + T \in D_n/T$, we have $h' + T \in D_n/T$, that is, $q_{\ell(1)} + T \in D_n/T$ so $q_{\ell(1)} \in D_n$. This contradicts Corollary 4 because $\ell(1) > n$. The result follows. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $1 \cdot F$ denote the linear span of unity $1 \in A$.

Lemma 6. *The vector space $C_2(B)$ is a direct sum of the vector spaces $1 \cdot F$, $C \cap A^{(p)}$ and I_{p+1},*

$$C_2(B) = 1 \cdot F \oplus (C \cap A^{(p)}) \oplus I_{p+1}. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Since $1 \cdot F + A^{(p)} + I_{p+1} = 1 \cdot F \oplus A^{(p)} \oplus I_{p+1}$, it suffices to prove that $C_2(B) = 1 \cdot F + (C \cap A^{(p)}) + I_{p+1}$.

Suppose that $f \in 1 \cdot F + (C \cap A^{(p)}) + I_{p+1}$. Since the algebra B is generated by the elements $y_i + U$, $z_i + U$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$, to prove that $f \in C_2(B)$ it suffices to check that $[f, y_i], [f, z_i] \in U$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $f \in I_p$, we have $[f, z_i] \in I_{p+1} \subset U$ for all i. Hence, it remains to check that $[f, y_i] \in U$ for all i.

Let $f = f^{(0)} + f^{(1)} + f^{(2)}$, where $f^{(0)} \in F$, $f^{(1)} \in (C \cap A^{(p)})$ and $f^{(2)} \in I_{p+1}$; then $[f, y_i] = [f^{(1)}, y_i] + [f^{(2)}, y_i]$. Since $f^{(2)} \in I_{p+1}$, we have $[f^{(2)}, y_i] \in I_{p+1} \subset U$. On the other hand, $f^{(1)} \in C$

so $[f^{(1)}, y_i] \in T$. Since $f^{(1)} \in A^{(p)}$, we have $[f^{(1)}, y_i] \in A^{(p)}$ so $[f^{(1)}, y_i] \in (T \cap A^{(p)}) \subset U$. Hence, $[f, y_i] \in U$ for each i.

Thus, if $f \in 1 \cdot F + (C \cap A^{(p)}) + I_{p+1}$ then $f \in C_2(B)$, that is, $1 \cdot F + (C \cap A^{(p)}) + I_{p+1} \subseteq C_2(B)$.

Now suppose that $f \in C_2(B)$, that is, $[f, y_i], [f, z_i] \in U$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $f = f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_p + f_{p+1}$, where $f_j \in A^{(j)}$ $(j = 0, 1, \dots, p)$, $f_{p+1} \in I_{p+1}$. Then $[f, y_i] = [f_0, y_i] + \dots + [f_p, y_i] + [f_{p+1}, y_i] \in U$. Since $U \subset I_p$ and $[f_\ell, y_i] \in A^{(\ell)}$ $(\ell = 0, 1, \dots, p)$, we have $[f_\ell, y_i] = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and all ℓ, $0 \leq \ell < p$. It is clear that if $g \in A$ and $[g, y_i] = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then $g \in 1 \cdot F$; hence, $f_0 \in 1 \cdot F$ and $f_\ell = 0$ if $0 < \ell < p$, that is, $f = f_0 + f_p + f_{p+1}$, where $f_0 \in 1 \cdot F$, $f_p \in A^{(p)}$ and $f_{p+1} \in I_{p+1}$. It follows that to prove that $f \in 1 \cdot F + (C \cap A^{(p)}) + I_{p+1}$ it suffices to check that $f_p \in C$.

Let $g = g(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in A$. We claim that to check that $g \in C$ it suffices to check that $[g, x_j] \in T$ for some $j > k$. Indeed, $g \in C$ if and only if $[g, x_i] \in T$ for all i. If $[g, x_j] \in T$ then $\psi([g, x_j]) = [\psi(g), \psi(x_j)] \in T$ for each endomorphism ψ of A because T is a T-ideal in A. For any i, take ψ such that $\psi(x_\ell) = x_\ell$ for all $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $\psi(x_j) = x_i$; then $[g, x_i] = [\psi(g(x_1, \dots, x_k), \psi(x_j)] \in T$ so $g \in C$, as claimed.

Now let $f_p = f_p(y_1, \dots, y_k; z_1, \dots z_k)$. Take $j > k$. Since $f \in C_2(B)$, we have

$$[f, y_j] = [f_p, y_j] + [f_{p+1}, y_j] \in U.$$

Since $f_{p+1} \in I_{p+1}$, we have $[f_{p+1}, y_j] \in I_{p+1} \subset U$ and therefore

$$[f_p, y_j] \in U = (T \cap A^{(p)}) \oplus I_{p+1} \subset A^{(p)} \oplus I_{p+1}.$$

Since $[f_p, y_j] \in A^{(p)}$, we have $[f_p, y_j] \in (T \cap A^{(p)}) \subset T$. By the observation made in the previous paragraph this implies that $[f_p, x_i] \in T$ for all free generators x_i of A, that is, $f_p \in C$. It follows that $f \in 1 \cdot F + (C \cap A^{(p)}) + I_{p+1}$ and, therefore, $C_2(B) \subseteq 1 \cdot F + (C \cap A^{(p)}) + I_{p+1}$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 6. □

Let $W_n = 1 \cdot F + (D_n \cap I_p) + I_{p+1}$ $(n \geq 0)$. Since D_n is a T-subspace (and therefore a T_2-subspace) in A and I_p, I_{p+1} are T_2-ideals (and thus T_2-subspaces), W_n is a T_2-subspace in A. On the other hand, W_n is a subalgebra in A because $\big((D_n \cap I_p) + I_{p+1}\big) \cdot \big((D_n \cap I_p) + I_{p+1}\big) \subset I_{p+1}$ so $W_n \cdot W_n = W_n$. Hence, W_n is a T_2-subalgebra in A.

Lemma 7. *For each $n \geq 0$, the vector subspace W_n of A is a direct sum of the vector subspaces $1 \cdot F$, $D_n \cap A^{(p)}$ and I_{p+1},*

$$W_n = 1 \cdot F \oplus (D_n \cap A^{(p)}) \oplus I_{p+1}. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Note that D_n is spanned over F by all polynomials (2) together with all polynomials $g_1[g_2, g_3, g_4]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$, where all $g_i \in M$ are monic monomials in x_i $(i \in \mathbb{N})$. Since each of these polynomials belongs to $A^{(s)}$ for a suitable $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$D_n = (D_n \cap A^{(0)}) \oplus (D_n \cap A^{(1)}) \oplus \dots \oplus (D_n \cap A^{(\ell)}) \oplus \dots$$

It follows that $D_n \cap I_p = (D_n \cap A^{(p)}) \oplus \dots \oplus (D_n \cap A^{(\ell)}) \oplus \dots$ so $(D_n \cap I_p) + I_{p+1} = (D_n \cap A^{(p)}) \oplus I_{p+1}$. Thus,

$$W_n = 1 \cdot F + (D_n \cap I_p) + I_{p+1} = 1 \cdot F \oplus (D_n \cap A^{(p)}) \oplus I_{p+1},$$

as required. □

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1. Since $C = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n$, we have $C \cap A^{(p)} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (D_n \cap A^{(p)})$ so, by (3) and (4),

$$C_2(B) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n. \tag{5}$$

Note that $D_0 \cap A^{(p)} \subsetneqq D_1 \cap A^{(p)} \subsetneqq \dots \subsetneqq D_n \cap A^{(p)} \subsetneqq \dots$ because, by Lemma 3,

$$q_n(z_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) = z_1^{p-1}[z_1, y_2] y_2^{p-1} y_3^{p-1} [y_3, y_4] y_4^{p-1} \dots y_{2n-1}^{p-1} [y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}] y_{2n}^{p-1}$$

belongs to $(D_n \cap A^{(p)}) \setminus (D_{n-1} \cap A^{(p)})$. Hence,

$$W_0 \subsetneqq W_1 \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq W_n \subsetneqq \ldots. \tag{6}$$

By (5) and (6), the T_2-subalgebra $C_2(B)$ is not finitely generated (as a T_2-subalgebra in A). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark. Theorem 1 and most of its proof remain valid if F is a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$. In this case the T-subspace C of A in Section 2 should be defined by $C = C(A/T)$. Note that for a finite field F we have $T \neq T(E)$ and $C \neq C(E)$, see [2].

Acknowledgements

The second author was supported by CNPq grants 307328/2012-0 and 480139/2012-1, by DPP/UnB and by CNPq-FAPDF PRONEX grant 2009/00091-0(193.000.580/2009)

References

[1] C. Bekh-Ochir, S.A. Rankin, *The central polynomials of the infinite dimensional unitary and nonunitary Grassmann algebras*, J. Algebra Appl. 9 (2010), 687–704.
[2] C. Bekh-Ochir, S.A. Rankin, *The identities and the central polynomials of the infinite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra over a finite field*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 819–829.
[3] A.Ya. Belov, *On non-Specht varieties* (Russian), Fundam. Prikl. Mat. **5** (1999), 47–66.
[4] A.Ya. Belov, *Counterexamples to the Specht problem*, Sb.: Math. **191** (2000), 329–340.
[5] A.Ya. Belov, *The local finite basis property and the local representability of varieties of associative rings*, Izv.: Math. **74** (2010), 1–126.
[6] A. Brandão Jr., P. Koshlukov, A. Krasilnikov, E.A. Silva, *The central polynomials for the Grassmann algebra,* Israel J. Math. **179** (2010), 127-144.
[7] V. Drensky, *Free algebras and PI-algebras*. Graduate course in algebra, Springer, Singapore, 1999.
[8] V. Drensky, E. Formanek, *Polynomial identity rings*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004.
[9] A. Giambruno, P. Koshlukov, *On the identities of the Grassmann algebras in characteristic $p > 0$*, Israel J. Math. **122** (2001), 305–316.
[10] A. Giambruno, M. Zaicev, *Polynomial identities and asymptotic methods*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **122**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
[11] D.J. Gonçalves, A. Krasilnikov, I. Sviridova, *Limit T-subspaces and the central polynomials in n variables of the Grassmann algebra*, J. Algebra **371** (2012), 156–174.
[12] D.J. Gonçalves, A. Krasilnikov, I. Sviridova, *Limit T-subalgebras in free associative algebras*, J. Algebra **412** (2014), 264–280.
[13] A.V. Grishin, *Examples of T-spaces and T-ideals of characteristic 2 without the finite basis property*, (Russian), Fundam. Prikl. Mat. **5** (1999), 101–118.
[14] A.V. Grishin, *On non-Spechtianness of the variety of associative rings that satisfy the identity $x^{32} = 0$*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (2000), 50–51 (electronic).
[15] A.V. Grishin, *On the structure of the centre of a relatively free Grassmann algebra*, Russ. Math. Surv. **65** (2010), 781–782.
[16] A.V. Grishin, L.M. Tsybulya, *On the multiplicative and T-space structure of the relatively free Grassmann algebra*, Sb.: Math. **200** (2009), 1299–1338.
[17] A. Kanel-Belov, L.H. Rowen, *Computational aspects of polynomial identities*. Research Notes in Mathematics, **9**. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2005.
[18] A.R. Kemer, *Ideal of identities of associative algebras*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **87**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.
[19] L.H. Rowen, *Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, **84**, Acad. Press, New York-London, 1980.
[20] V.V. Shchigolev, *Examples of infinitely based T-ideals* (Russian), Fundam. Prikl. Mat. **5** (1999), 307–312.
[21] V.V. Shchigolev, *Examples of infinitely basable T-spaces* Sb.: Math. **191** (2000), 459–476.
[22] V.V. Shchigolev, *Finite basis property of T-spaces over fields of characteristic zero*, Izv.: Math. **65** (2001), 1041–1071.

Department of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics (FS-11), Bauman Moscow State Technical University, 2-nd Baumanskaya Street, 5, 105005 Moscow, Russia
E-mail address: galina_deryabina@mail.ru

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Brasília, 70910-900 Brasília, DF, Brasil
E-mail address: alexei@unb.br